### **AAARGH**

## | Accueil général | Homepage English | Faurisson Archive | Archive Faurisson |

# Three faxes to Bradley Smith

#### **Robert Faurisson**

#### **FOR PUBLICATION**

12 February, 1995

FAX to Bradley SMITH

Dear Bradley,

In *Smith Report* (Winter 1995, p. 6-7), you reported the visit of the Struthof "gas chamber" by David Cole and five other people. May I remind your readers that this "gas chamber" is the only one which enjoyed a real *and complete* expertise ordered by the accusers of Germany? On December 1 st , 1945, Professeur René Fabre, Dean of the Pharmacology Faculty in Paris, concluded that the room had no traces of hydrocyanic acid and that the bodies of alleged gassed inmates in August 1943, kept in the morgue of an hospital in Strasbourg, had no traces of hydrocyanic acid. The expert's report classified in the files of the "Gendarmerie Militaire" disappeared but, thanks to another piece of evidence in those files, we know that such were the conclusions. I discovered this in 1981 and mentioned it repeatedly in my books, articles, videos and in trials; see, for example, "The Gas Chamber at Struthof-Natzweiler (Alsace)" in *The Journal of Historical Review*, Summer 1985, p. 150-1. I had visited and examined that "gas chamber" for the first time in 1974 and then twice. I published my photos and comments in 1980. The "gas chamber" became then closed to the visitors except, of course, for the happy few with real or seemingly real guarantees.

Since 1981, there is no more any problem with the alleged Struthof "gas chamber".

[For the sake of information, we reproduce here a fax sent by henri ROQUES to Bradley Smith]

12 February, 1995

FAX to Bradley SMITH

Dear Mr Smith,

I read « Another David Cole Adventure in Europe » and also noticed your advertisement : "NEW. David Cole tells it all to you about his eventful October 1994 trip to Europe in search of the physical evidence for the notorious gassing chambers, including his entrapment and robbery by the bad guys" (*Smith's Report*, Winter 1995, p. 6-8). My wife and I disagree with the version by D. Cole of his "adventure" in Struthof-Natzweiler (Alsace, France). Being a revisionist, I would like to put the records straight and tell how things actually happened. I have contacted P. Guillaume and T. Mordrel in order to confront their recollections with our recollections. This is what I have to say:

- 1. On October 26, 1994, we visited the alleged execution gas chamber in that camp together with D. Cole, an American camerawoman, Pierre Guillaume and Trystan Mordrel;
- 2. The six of us we were never locked *frorm outside* in the gas chamber in order to be entrapped in it! Simply the guard locked the door *from inside* and he had to open it once because tourists were knocking at the door, and he told them that the visit was possible only for people with a special permission (which was the case for our party);
- 3. The guard cannot in any way be implied in the theft inside Cole's car;
- 4. My wife and I remember only one guard; the same for T. Mordrel; P. Guillaume thinks that two guards came to the "gas chamber" but that only one stayed;
- 5. According to the guard and, later on, to the gendarmes in Schirmeck (near Struthof), this kind of theft is unfortunately common, especially in a car with a foreign license plate;
- 6. My wife left no purse in our car;
- 7. The fact that the camera equipment with a tripod was not stolen but only bags is understandable since it is easier to get away with bags than with a tripod (Cole had taken his camera with him);
- 8. Initially, I thought that it could have been a theft directed against revisionist people but I do not see anything which could substantiate this and, furthermore, the conversations I had with P. Guillaume and T. Mordrel tend to eliminate that possibility;

• 9. Cole's version could make the readers believe in an antirevisionist operation carried out with the complicity of the guards but I don't think it is fair to accuse the guards of having "entrapped" us or even perhaps participated in a theft.

P.S. (Not for publication) The visit of that "gas chamber" is *in fact* forbidden, except, of course, for selected people offering guarantees. This was the case for D. Cole who had been described in a phone call to the Strasbourg' Prefecture as appointed by the World Jewish Congress!

#### 888888888888888888888888888

16 February, 1995

FAX from R. FAURISSON to Bradley SMITH

Dear Bradley,

Thank you for your 15 February fax sent at 3.54 a.m. I am upset to see that my dear friend Bradley is upset. Let me explain you the whole « entrapment » story as I see it. But, first, trust me when I say that, most probably, I feel more upset than you do, since, in my country, I encounter every day great difficulties that you do not have in your own rather free up to now country. Moreover, I am overworked. People consult me from many countries in different languages and, now, for several days, I had to devote my time to this Strufhof lie which discredits, not our enemies, but ourselves.

I knew what you meant by « back-room politics ». I wrote : « What "back-room politics" are you talking about ? », meaning that, for Roques and myself, it is not a question of « back-room politics » but a clear and *public* reaction to a lie *published* in a journal. You took the responsibility of *publishing* something you thought was true but which happened to be a lie. You did not see that this « entrapment » of six people in the Struthof « gas chamber » in order to facilitate a robbery was a figment of David Cole's imagination.

I leave it to psychanalysts to study such an invention coming from a Jew who was supposedly « entrapped » by « guards » (« bad guys ») in a « gas chamber » and whose belongings, left in his car, were robbed.

In his manner and in his way, D. Cole seems to reproduce the stereotype of « Nazi » guards entrapping Jews in gas chambers and seizing all their belongings that had been left, on the Birkenau « Rampe », in railway cars or in lorries. He gets out of the Struthof « gas chamber » as a survivor and then makes publicity and money out of his extraordinary adventure by selling a video in which he « tells it all » on « the notorious gas chambers including his entrapment and robbery by the bad guys » at Struthof. This is the way he puts it in his advertisement. It looks like the usual phantasmagoria and the usual Shoah-business. Sorry, but I do not wish to see anyone judaizing revisionism by putting in it Jewish stories.

About D. Cole being allegedly « appointed » by the WJC for visiting the gas chamber normally closed to tourists, Roques, first, told me the story in a long letter and then, when I phoned to Mordrel to check it, Mordrel told me that this was « *perfectly right* ». But, please, no confusion here! Roques made a clear distinction between the essential (the alleged entrapment in order to rob D. Cole) and the unessential (the alleged appointment of D. Cole by the WJC). He specified that the first was « for publication » and the second « not for publication ».

This text has been displayed on the Net, and forwarded to you as a tool for educational purpose, further research, on a non commercial and fair use basis, by the International Secretariat of the Association des Anciens Amateurs de Recits de Guerres et d'Holocaustes (AAARGH). The E-mail of the Secretariat is <[email protected]. Mail can be sent at PO Box 81475, Chicago, IL 60681-0475, USA..

We see the act of displaying a written document on Internet as the equivalent to displaying it on the shelves of a public library. It costs us a modicum of labor and money. The only benefit accrues to the reader who, we surmise, thinks by himself. A reader looks for a document on the Web at his or her own risks. As for the author, there is no reason to suppose that he or she shares any responsibilty for other writings displayed on this Site. Because laws enforcing a specific censorship on some historical question apply in various countries (Germany, France, Israel, Switzerland, Canada, and others) we do not ask their permission from authors living in thoses places: they wouldn't have the freedom to consent.

We believe we are protected by the Human Rights Charter:

ARTICLE 19. <Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers. >The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on December 10, 1948, in Paris.

#### [email protected]

| Accueil général | Homepage English | Faurisson Archive | Archive Faurisson |

You downloaded this document from <a href="http://aaargh-international.org/engl/FaurisArch/RF950212.html">http://aaargh-international.org/engl/FaurisArch/RF950212.html</a>